IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2057 OF 2004.

Atmaram Ghanshyam Kamble. ... Applicant.
Versus.
The State of Maharahstra & anr. ... Respondents.

Shri A.P.Mundargi, Senior Counsel with Shri Indrajeet Joshi for the Applicant.

Shri S.V.More, A.P.P.for the Respondent-State.

CORAM : ABHAY S.OKA, J.

DATED : 12th October, 2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard Shri Mundargi, the learned Senior Counsel appearing for the Applicant. The 2nd Respondent has been acquitted for the offence under section 500 of the Indian Penal Code.

2. After having heard the learned Senior Counsel appearing for the Applicant and after perusing the impugned Judgment, I find that no case is made out for grant of leave. The learned trial Judge has considered the entire evidence on record and has recorded a finding that the ingredients of the offence are not established.

3. The view taken by the learned trial Judge is a possible view which could have been taken on the basis of the evidence on record. There is no case made out for grant of leave. Application is rejected.

Judge.